arXiv:math/0408183v1 [math.SP] 13 Aug 2004

SEVERAL COMPLEX VARIABLES AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF RESONANCES IN POTENTIAL SCATTERING

T. CHRISTIANSEN

Abstract. We study resonances associated to Schrödinger operators with compactly supported potentials on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 3$, odd. We consider compactly supported potentials depending holomorphically on a parameter $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$. For certain such families, for all z except those in a pluripolar set, the associated resonance-counting function has order of growth d.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the growth of the resonance-counting function for potential scattering in odd dimension $d \geq 3$. Let $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$ and let $N_V(r)$ be the resonance-counting function for the Schrödinger operator $\Delta + V$. The purpose of this paper is to show that

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log N_V(r)}{\log r} = d \tag{1}$$

for many potentials V. By [21], this is the maximum value this limit can obtain. Previously, the only potentials known to satisfy (1) in dimension at least three were a class of radial potentials [20]. For a certain class of compactly supported potentials $W(z)$ depending holomorphically on a parameter z, we show that (1) holds for $V = W(z)$, for all z except those in a pluripolar set. In a probabilistic sense this greatly expands the number of potentials which are known to have resonance-counting function with maximal order of growth. We use this to show that potentials with this property are dense in the L^∞ norm in $L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We remark that there are complex-valued $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$ such that the limit in (1) is 0 [1].

For odd d and $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$, $R_V(\lambda) = (\Delta + V - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ is defined for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0$. If $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\chi \equiv 1$ on the support of V, then $\chi R_V \chi$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$. The poles of this continuation are resonances, or scattering poles. They are, in many ways, analogous to eigenvalues and correspond to decaying states. For an introduction to resonances and for a survey of some results on their distribution, see [17, 22, 24, 25].

Partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0088922.

e-mail address: `tjc@math.missouri.edu`.

Let $\mathcal{R}_V$ be the set of poles of $R_V(\lambda)$, repeated with multiplicity. Let

$$N_V(r) = \#\{z_j \in \mathcal{R}_V : \ |z_j| < r\}.$$

Then, if $d = 1$,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{N_V(r)}{r} = \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}(V))$$

[2, 19, 15]. This is true for complex-valued potentials as well as for real-valued ones. Much less is known about the higher-dimensional case, and there is evidence that the question of distribution of resonances is more subtle. Zworski [21] showed that for $d \geq 3$, odd,

$$N_V(r) \leq C(r^d + 1)$$

and this order of growth is achieved by a class of radial potentials [20]. On the other hand, the best known lower bound to hold for a general class of potentials is, for non-trivial $V \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R})$,

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{N_V(r)}{r} > 0$$

[14]. It is important that these are *real*-valued potentials, as this does not hold for all smooth complex-valued potentials. In [1], there is an example of a family of *complex*-valued potentials for which $N_V(r) \equiv 0$ for all r. In fact, the example works in even dimensions as well (with some caveats for $d = 2$). The potentials can be chosen to be smooth.

In this paper we show that there are many potentials with resonance-counting function with the maximum order of growth. This theorem can be viewed as providing a kind of probabilistic lower bound on the resonance counting function, as it gives no information for any given potential but says that "most" potentials in certain families have resonance counting function with maximal growth rate. The proof uses some results from several complex variables.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \geq 3$ be odd and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be an open, connected set. Let $V(z,x) = \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} f_j(z)V_j(x)$ with f_j holomorphic on Ω and $V_j \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$. Suppose*

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log N_{V(z_0)}(r)}{\log r} = d$$

for some $z_0 \in \Omega$. Then

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log N_{V(z)}(r)}{\log r} = d$$

for $z \in \Omega \setminus E$, where E is a pluripolar set.

We recall the definition of a pluripolar set in Section 2 and refer the reader to [6, 8] for further details. We remark that pluripolar sets are quite small– in particular, they have Lebesgue measure zero, and there are further restrictions on them.

We remark that by [20, Theorem 2] the condition on $V(z_0)$ is satisfied if $V(z_0)$ is the radial potenial $W(|x|)$, with $W \in C^2[0,a]$, $W(a) \neq 0$. One may also use Theorem 1.2 to generate such potentials.

As an application of Theorem 1.1 and some further study of holomorphic functions whose zeros correspond to resonances, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose d is odd and $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R})$ is bounded below by the characteristic function of a ball. Then*

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log N_{zV}(r)}{\log r} = d$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E$, where $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a pluripolar set.

Earlier results for potentials of fixed sign are found in [7] and [16]. These papers studied the purely imaginary scattering poles associated to potentials $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R})$ where V or $-V$ is bounded below by a positive multiple of the characteristic function of a ball. They showed that for such potentials,

$$\#\{\lambda_j \in \mathcal{R}_V : \; \lambda_j \in i\mathbb{R},\; |\lambda_j| \leq r\} \geq c_V r^{d-1}$$

for some constant $c_V > 0$.

A corollary of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, and the properties of pluripolar sets is

Corollary 1.3. *For $d \geq 3$, odd, the set*

$$\{V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}) : \; \limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log N_V(r)}{\log r} = d\}$$

is dense in $L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R})$ under the L^∞ norm. The set

$$\{V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C}) : \; \limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log N_V(r)}{\log r} = d\}$$

is dense in the set $L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$ under the L^∞ norm. Moreover, the same results are true if we replace L^∞_{comp} by C^∞_c and the L^∞ norm by the C^∞ topology.

We remark that to prove the results for L^∞_{comp} potentials in the L^∞ topology, one could use [20, Theorem 2] instead of Theorem 1.2.

In the next section of this paper, we recall some definitions and facts from one and several complex variables. In addition, we prove an extension of [8, Corollary 1.42], a result about order of growth for functions of several complex variables. This result, combined with some facts about the determinant of the scattering matrix which are established in Section 3, enables us to prove our main theorem, Theorem 4.3, in Section 4. This result is somewhat stronger than Theorem 1.1. Section 5 is devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.

Throughout this paper, C, C_V, C_ϵ, and C_χ denote constants whose value may change from line to line. The dimension d is odd throughout.

We are pleased to thank D. Drasin, D. Edidin, C. Kiselman, and I. Verbitsky for helpful discussions.

2. Some complex analysis

In this section we recall some definitions and results from complex analysis, and prove an extension of a result in several complex variables that we shall need.

Let $a_1, a_2, a_3....$ be a sequence of non-zero complex numbers with $|a_m| \to \infty$. The *convergence exponent* of this sequence is the greatest lower bound of the set

$$\left\{ \lambda : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a_m|^{\lambda}} \text{ converges} \right\}.$$

If $n(r) = \#\{a_j : |a_j| < r\}$, then

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log n(r)}{\log r},$$

which may be called the order of $n(r)$, is the same as the convergence exponent for the sequence $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. We shall abuse notation slightly and call this the convergence exponent for the *set* $\{a_l\}$, where we order $\{a_l\}$ so that $|a_1| \leq |a_2| \leq |a_3| \leq ...$ to form the sequence.

We now recall the definition and some facts about plurisubharmonic functions. For further details, see, for example, [6, 8].

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a domain; that is, an open, connected set. A function $\varphi(z)$ which takes its values in $[-\infty, \infty)$ is *plurisubharmonic* in Ω if

- $\varphi(z)$ is upper semi-continuous and $\varphi \not\equiv -\infty$.
- For every $z \in \Omega$ and every r such that $\{z + uw : |u| \leq r,\ u \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset \Omega$,

$$\varphi(z) \leq (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(z + re^{i\theta}w)d\theta.$$

We shall write $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)$ if φ is plurisubharmonic on Ω. Being plurisubharmonic is a local property. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a domain. If φ is upper semi-continuous on Ω, $\varphi \not\equiv -\infty$, and for every $z \in \Omega$ there is a $\rho(z)$ such that

$$\varphi(z) \leq (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(z + we^{i\theta})d\theta$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $\|w\| < \rho(z)$, then we say that φ is locally plurisubharmonic on Ω. But if φ is locally plurisubharmonic on Ω, it is plurisubharmonic on Ω (e.g. [8, Proposition I.19]).

A set $E \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is *pluripolar* if for each $a \in E$ there is a neighborhood V of a and $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(V)$ such that $E \cap V \subset \{z \in V : \varphi(z) = -\infty\}$. This is equivalent to the definition given in [8] via the Josefson Theorem [6, Theorem 4.7.4].

For a function φ which is plurisubharmonic in $\theta_1 < \arg u < \theta_2$, we define the order ρ of φ in $\theta_1 < \arg u < \theta_2$ as

$$\rho = \limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log \sup_{\theta_1 < \arg u < \theta_2, |u|=r} |\varphi(u)|}{\log r}.$$

An important example of a plurisubharmonic function is $\log|f|$, where f is holomorphic. Thus we shall make the following (standard) definition of order for a holomorphic function. For f holomorphic for $\theta_1 < \arg u < \theta_2$, the order ρ of f in $\theta_1 < \arg u < \theta_2$ is

$$\rho = \limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log \sup_{\theta_1<\arg u<\theta_2, |u|=r} \log |f(u)|}{\log r}.$$

Since the two notions of order are so closely related, we use the same name and notation for each.

We shall be concerned with functions that satisfy the following set of assumptions.

Assumption (A0). *For some open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ and some $\epsilon > 0$, $f(z,\lambda)$ is holomorphic on $\Omega \times \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}\lambda > -\epsilon\}$. Moreover, there are constants C_f and α such that*

$$\log |f(z,\lambda)| \le C_f(1+|\lambda|^\alpha) \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2}$$

With the next two lemmas, we construct a plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega \times \mathbb{C}$ whose order is related to the order of the function f in a half-plane. Some related results and techniques appear in Theorem I.28 and its proof in [8].

Lemma 2.1. *Assume f satisfies assumption (A0). For some $\beta > \alpha$, $\beta \ge 1$, let*

$$M(z,r) = \max\Big(\max_{\substack{|\lambda|\le r\\ \operatorname{Im}\lambda\ge 0}} \log|f(z,\lambda)|, r^\beta\Big).$$

Then there is an $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $M(z,r)$ is a plurisubharmonic function of $(z,u) \in \Omega \times \{u \in \mathbb{C} : |u| > r_0\}$, where $|u| = r$.

Proof. Note that since f is holomorphic, we actually have that M is continuous. Clearly, $M \not\equiv -\infty$.

Now we shall show that M is locally plurisubharmonic when r is sufficiently large. The key idea here is that we require r to be so large that

$$C_f(1+r^\alpha) < r^\beta$$

where C_f, α are as in (2). For such values of r, $M(z,r)$ is not the value of $\log|f(z,\pm r)|$.

Choose r sufficiently large as above. Suppose $M(z,r) = \log|f(z,\lambda_0)|$, with $|\lambda_0| = r$ and $\operatorname{Im}\lambda_0 > 0$. Then to see that M is locally plurisubharmonic at (z,u) with $|u| = r$, consider $w \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ with $\|w\| \le \operatorname{Im}\lambda_0$ and write $w = (w', w_{m+1})$. Note that $(w', \lambda_0 w_{m+1}/u)$ has the same norm as w and

$$|\lambda_0 + e^{i\theta}\lambda_0 w_{m+1}/u| = |u + e^{i\theta} w_{m+1}|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}M(z,|u|) &= M(z,|\lambda_0|)\\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-1}\int_0^{2\pi} \log|f(z+e^{i\theta}w',\lambda_0+e^{i\theta}\frac{\lambda_0}{u}w_{m+1})|d\theta\\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-1}\int_0^{2\pi} M(z+e^{i\theta}w',|\lambda_0+e^{i\theta}\frac{\lambda_0}{u}w_{m+1}|)d\theta\\ &= (2\pi)^{-1}\int_0^{2\pi} M(z+e^{i\theta}w',|u+e^{i\theta}w_{m+1}|)d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose, on the other hand, that $M(z,r)=r^\beta$. Let $w=(w',w_{m+1})\in\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$. Then, if $|u|=r$,

$$\begin{aligned}M(z,|u|) &= M(z,r)=r^\beta\\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-1}\int_0^{2\pi} |u+w_{m+1}e^{i\theta}|^\beta d\theta\\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-1}\int_0^{2\pi} M(z+w'e^{i\theta},|u+w_{m+1}e^{i\theta}|)d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Thus M is locally plurisubharmonic, and thus subharmonic, in $\Omega\times\{u\in\mathbb{C}:|u|>r_0\}$, for some r_0. □

Next, we modify M somewhat to obtain a function plurisubharmonic on $\Omega\times\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let Ω, f, M, and r_0 be as in Lemma 2.1. For $(z,u)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{C}$, set*

$$M_1(z,u)=\begin{cases} M(z,r_0+1) & \text{if } |u|<r_0+1\\ M(z,|u|) & \text{if } |u|\geq r_0+1.\end{cases}$$

Then $M_1\in PSH(\Omega\times\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. We again use the fact that being plurisubharmonic is a local property. Clearly, if $z_0\in\Omega$, $|u_0|\neq r_0+1$, then M_1 is plurisubharmonic in a neighborhood of (z_0,u_0). If $|u_0|=r_0+1$, then, since $M(z_0,\bullet)$ is increasing and plurisubharmonic, for $z_0\in\Omega$

$$M_1(z_0,u_0)=M(z_0,|u_0|)\leq(2\pi)^{-1}\int_0^{2\pi} M_1(z_0+w'e^{i\theta},u_0+w_{m+1}e^{i\theta})d\theta$$

for all $w=(w',w_{m+1})\in\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$, $\|w\|$ sufficiently small. □

With this preparation, we may now prove the following extension of [8, Corollary 1.42], which we shall apply in Section 4 to prove our main theorem.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}^m$ be an open, connected set and let f satisfy assumptions (A0). Let $\rho(z)$ be the order of $g_z(\lambda)=f(z,\lambda)$ in $0<\arg\lambda<\pi$. If $\rho(z)\leq\rho_0$ for all $z\in\Omega$, $\rho(z_0)=\rho_0$ for some $z_0\in\Omega$, and $\rho_0>\max(\alpha,1)$, then $\rho(z)=\rho_0$ for all $z\in\Omega\setminus E$, where $E\subset\Omega$ is a pluripolar set.*

Proof. Choose a $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\max(\alpha, 1) < \beta < \rho_0$, and let $M_1(z,u)$ be as defined in Lemma 2.2. Note that the order of $u \mapsto M_1(z,u)$ is $\max(\rho(z), \beta)$.

Let Ω' be open, connected, and bounded, with $\overline{\Omega'} \subset \Omega$. Then by [8, Proposition 1.40], there is a sequence $\{\Psi_q\}$ of negative plurisubharmonic functions on Ω' such that

$$-(\rho(z))^{-1} = \limsup_{q\to\infty} \Psi_q(z).$$

In addition,

$$\limsup_{q\to\infty} \left(\Psi_q(z) + \frac{1}{\rho_0}\right) \leq 0,$$

and

$$\limsup_{q\to\infty} \left(\Psi_q(z_0) + \frac{1}{\rho_0}\right) = 0.$$

Thus, by [8, Proposition 1.39], $\rho(z) = \rho_0$ for $z \in \Omega' \setminus E'$, for some pluripolar set $E' \subset \Omega'$. We can cover Ω with Ω' having the properties as above. The set E is the union of the corresponding sets E', and is thus pluripolar. $\square$

We note that this proposition could also be proved by adapting arguments of [5].

3. The scattering matrix and its determinant

In this section, we collect some facts about the scattering matrix and its determinant. For $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$, let $S_V(\lambda)$ be the associated scattering matrix and let

$$s_V(\lambda) = \det S_V(\lambda).$$

It is meromorphic in the upper half-plane, with at most a finite number of poles there. This is a useful function in the study of resonances because for odd d its zeros in the upper half-plane coincide, with at most a finite number of exceptions, with poles of the resolvent in the lower half-plane, and the multiplicities agree (see [23, (3.7)] or [4]). That is, for all but finitely many λ_0, if $\operatorname{Im}\lambda_0 > 0$ is a zero of order m_0 of $s_V(\lambda)$, then $-\lambda_0$ is a pole of order m_0 of $\chi R_V(\lambda)\chi$.

Recall that for $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$ the scattering matrix associated to $\Delta + V$ is given by

$$S_V(\lambda) = I + c_d \lambda^{d-2} \pi_\lambda (V - V R_V(\lambda) V) \pi^t_{-\lambda} \tag{3}$$

where π_λ is given by

$$(\pi_\lambda f)(\omega) = \int e^{-i\lambda x\cdot\omega} f(x)dx.$$

Here c_d is independent of λ.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a C_V so that*

$$\left|\frac{d}{d\lambda}\log s_V(\lambda)\right| \leq C_V |\lambda|^{d-2}$$

whenever $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently large.

Proof. We use

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}\log s_V(\lambda) = \operatorname{tr}\left((S_V(\lambda))^{-1}\frac{d}{d\lambda}S_V(\lambda)\right).$$

If V is real-valued, then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $S_V(\lambda)$ is unitary. Otherwise, we will use (3) to bound

$$\|(S_V(\lambda))^{-1}\| = \|S_V(-\lambda)\|$$

when $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. By (3),

$$\|S_V(\lambda) - I\| = |\lambda|^{d-2}\|\pi_\lambda\chi(V - VR_V(\lambda)V)\chi\pi^t_{-\lambda}\|.$$

where $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is one on the support of V. Using [18, Corollary 3.7],

$$\|\pi_\lambda\chi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\to L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} \leq C_\chi|\lambda|^{-(d-1)/2},\ \|\chi\pi^t_{-\lambda}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_\chi|\lambda|^{-(d-1)/2}.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently large (depending on $\|V\|_\infty$ and $\operatorname{supp} V$) $\|V - VR_V(\lambda)V\| \leq C_V$. Thus we have, for such λ,

$$\|S_V(\lambda) - I\| \leq C_V|\lambda|^{-1}.$$

Next, we bound

$$\left\|\frac{d}{d\lambda}S_V(\lambda)\right\|_1 = \left\|\frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(I + c_d\lambda^{d-2}\pi_\lambda\chi(V - VR_V(\lambda)V)\chi)\pi^t_{-\lambda}\right)\right\|_1.$$

We bound this just as in [3, Lemma 3.3], using the fact that

$$\|AB\|_1 \leq \|A\|_2\|B\|_2.$$

When $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently large,

$$\|V - VR_V(\lambda)V\| \leq C_V,\ \|\frac{d}{d\lambda}VR_V(\lambda)V\| \leq C_V.$$

Moreover, the Hilbert-Schmidt norms of $\pi_\lambda\chi$, $\chi\pi^t_{-\lambda}$ can be estimated using their explicit Schwartz kernels to see that

$$\|\pi_\lambda\chi\|_2 \leq C_\chi,\ \|\chi\pi^t_{-\lambda}\|_2 \leq C_\chi.$$

The Hilbert-Schmidt norms of the derivatives of these operators are also bounded above by constants, so that

$$\left\|\frac{d}{d\lambda}S_V(\lambda)\right\|_1 \leq C_V(1 + |\lambda|^{d-2}). \tag{4}$$

This finishes the proof. □

We shall consider holomorphic families of potentials that satisfy the following conditions. These potentials form a somewhat more general class than those of Theorem 1.1.

Assumption (A1). *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ *be an open set, and let*

$$V = V(z,x) \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega_z; L^\infty_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d_x;\mathbb{C})).$$

That is, V *is holomorphic in the* z *variables and takes its values in compactly supported potentials. Moreover, we require that there be a fixed set* $K_\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *such that* $\operatorname{supp}(V(z,\bullet)) \subset K_\Omega$ *for all* $z \in \Omega$*.*

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ *be open and suppose that* $V(z,x)$ *satisfies assumptions (A1). Let* $K \subset \Omega$ *be a compact set. Then* $s_{V(z)}(\lambda)$ *has the following properties:*

- **a:** *There is a constant* $C_{K,0} \geq 0$ *such that* $s_{V(z)}(\lambda)$ *is holomorphic on* $\Omega' \times \{\lambda : \operatorname{Im}\lambda > C_{K,0}\}$ *for any open* $\Omega' \subset K$*.*
- **b:** *The constant* $C_{K,0}$ *can be chosen so that for* $z_0 \in K$*, if* λ_0 *is a zero of* $s_{V(z_0)}(\lambda)$ *with* $\operatorname{Im}\lambda_0 > C_{K,0}$*, then* $-\lambda_0$ *is a pole of* $R_{V(z_0)}(\lambda)$*, and the multiplicities coincide.*
- **c:** *For* $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > C_{K,0}$*,* $z \in K$*, there is a constant* C *(depending on* V *and* K*) so that*
$$|s_{V(z)}(\lambda)| \leq Ce^{C|\lambda|^d}.$$
- **d:** *If* $z \in K$*,* $\operatorname{Im}\lambda = C_1 > C_{K,0}$*, then there is a constant* C *(depending on* V*,* K*, and* C_1*) so that*
$$|s_{V(z)}(\lambda)| \leq Ce^{C|\lambda|^{d-2}}.$$

Proof. When $z \in K$, $\|V(z,\bullet)\|_{L^\infty}$ is bounded, so that there is a $C_{K,0}$ such that $I + V(z)R_0(\lambda)$ is invertible when $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > C_{K,0}$, $z \in K$. Thus $R_{V(z)}(\lambda) = R_0(\lambda)(I + VR_0(\lambda))^{-1}$ is holomorphic in $\Omega' \times \{\lambda : \operatorname{Im}\lambda > C_{K,0}\}$, and, using the explicit expression for S_V, so are $S_{V(z)}(\lambda)$ and $s_{V(z)}(\lambda)$.

Using the relation $(S_V(\lambda))^{-1} = S_V(-\lambda)$, we see that zeros of $S_V(\lambda)$ with $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > C_{K,0}$ correspond to poles of $S_V(\lambda)$ with $\operatorname{Im}\lambda < -C_{K,0}$. If $S_{V(z)}(\lambda)$ is holomorphic in $\Omega' \times \{\lambda : \operatorname{Im}\lambda > C_{K,0}\}$, then for $z_0 \in \Omega'$, the zeros of $s_{V(z_0)}(\lambda)$ with $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > C_{K,0}$ correspond, with multiplicity, to the poles of $R_{V(z_0)}(-\lambda)$ (e.g. [4, 23]).

Property (c) follows as in [23] or [3], using the fact that $\operatorname{supp} V(z,\bullet)$ and $\|V(z,\bullet)\|_\infty$ are bounded when $z \in K$.

To prove the final property, we use the fact that

$$|\det(I + A)| \leq e^{\|A\|_1}.$$

Again as in [3] and Lemma 3.1, we have that

$$\|S_V(\lambda) - I\|_1 \leq C|\lambda|^{d-2}\|\pi_\lambda \chi\|_2 \|V - VR_V(\lambda)V\| \|\chi \pi^t_{-\lambda}\|_2$$

where $\chi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is one on the support of V. Using the explicit kernels of π_λ we obtain

$$\|\pi_\lambda \chi\|_2 \leq e^{C(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|+1)}.$$

We obtain a similar estimate for $\|\chi \pi^t_{-\lambda}\|_2$. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

For integers $p \geq 1$, let $G(u;p)$ be the canonical factor

$$G(u;p) = (1-u)e^{u+u^2/2+\ldots+u^p/p}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $d' \in \mathbb{Z}$, $d' \geq 2$. Suppose the convergence exponent of the sequence $\{\lambda_j\}$ is strictly less than d' and that $\lambda_j \notin \mathbb{R}$ for all j. Then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ and a constant C_ϵ such that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\left| \int_0^\lambda \frac{d}{dt} \left(\log \prod_{j=1}^\infty G(-t/\lambda_j; d'-1) - \log \prod_{j=1}^\infty G(t/\lambda_j; d'-1) \right) dt \right| \leq C_\epsilon (1+|\lambda|^{d'-\epsilon}).$$

Proof. Let

$$n(r) = \#\{\lambda_j : |\lambda_j| < r\}.$$

Since the convergence exponent for the sequence is less than d', there is an $\epsilon > 0$ and a constant C_ϵ so that $n(r) \leq C_\epsilon(1+r^{d'-\epsilon})$.

For the real part of the integral, we use

$$\int_0^\lambda \operatorname{Re} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\log \prod_{j=1}^\infty G(-t/\lambda_j; d'-1) - \log \prod_{j=1}^\infty G(t/\lambda_j; d'-1) \right) dt$$
$$= \log \left| \prod_{j=1}^\infty G(-\lambda/\lambda_j; d'-1) \right| - \log \left| \prod_{j=1}^\infty G(\lambda/\lambda_j; d'-1) \right|. \tag{5}$$

Then applying standard estimates for canonical products (e.g. [9, Theorem I.6]), we have

$$\left| \log \prod_{j=1}^\infty |G(-\lambda/\lambda_j; d'-1)| - \log \prod_{j=1}^\infty |G(\lambda/\lambda_j; d'-1)| \right| \leq C_\epsilon (1+|\lambda|^{d'-\epsilon})$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$.

For the imaginary part of the integral, we use

$$|\arg(1-\lambda/\lambda_j) - \arg(1)| \leq \pi$$

where the argument is chosen to be a continuous function of λ. We also use

$$\left| \operatorname{Im} \sum_{p=1}^{d'-1} \frac{1}{p} u^p \right| \leq C|u|^{d'-1} \text{ if } |u| \geq 1$$

and

$$|\arg G(u, d'-1) - \arg(1)| \leq C|u|^{d'} \text{ if } |u| \leq 1.$$

Then, using arguments similar to [9, Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 1.4],

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\int_0^\lambda \frac{d}{dt}\left(\log\prod_{j=1}^\infty G(-t/\lambda_j;d'-1)-\log\prod_{j=1}^\infty G(t/\lambda_j;d'-1)\right)dt\right)\right|\\&=\left|\arg\prod_{j=1}^\infty G(-t/\lambda_j;d'-1)-\arg\prod_{j=1}^\infty G(t/\lambda_j;d'-1)\right|\\&\leq Cn(2\lambda)+|\lambda|^{d'-1}\int_1^{2\lambda} t^{1-d'}dn(t)+|\lambda|^{d'}\int_{2\lambda}^\infty t^{-d'}dn(t)\\&\leq C_\epsilon(1+|\lambda|^{d'-\epsilon}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let $d \geq 3$ be odd, and $V \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$. Then $s_V(\lambda)$ is of order d in the half-plane $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}\lambda > 2\|V\|_\infty + 1\}$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}_V$ has convergence exponent d.*

Proof. We remark that since $N_V(r) \leq C(r^d+1)$, the convergence exponent of $\mathcal{R}_V$ is at most d.

We shall actually prove the contrapositive of this lemma.

Let $g(\lambda)$ be holomorphic in a neighborhood of the closed upper half-plane, and let $n_g(r)$ be the number of zeros of g in the upper half-plane with norm less than r, counted with multiplicity. By using intermediate steps from the proof of [3, Lemma 3.2],

$$\int_0^r \frac{n_g(t)}{t}dt = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^r t^{-1}\int_{-t}^t \frac{g'(s)}{g(s)}dsdt + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\pi \log|g(re^{i\theta})|d\theta.$$

We apply this to $s_V(\lambda)$ (multiplied by a suitable polynomial if it has poles in the upper half-plane). Using Lemma 3.1 as well, we see that if s_V has order strictly less than d in this region, $\mathcal{R}_V$ has convergence exponent strictly less than d.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{R}_V$ has convergence exponent ρ strictly less than d. We may write [23]

$$s_V(\lambda) = \alpha e^{ig(\lambda)}\frac{P(-\lambda)}{P(\lambda)}$$

where α is a constant,

$$P(\lambda) = \prod_{\lambda_j\in\mathcal{R}_V,\lambda_j\neq 0} G(\lambda/\lambda_j;d-1)$$

and $g(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of order at most d. The canonical product $P(\lambda)$ is of order $\max(\rho, d-1)$. By the minimum modulus theorem, then, $P(\lambda)/P(-\lambda)$ is of order $\max(\rho, d-1)$ in the upper half plane in question. From Lemma 4.1, we know that

$$\left|\int_0^\lambda \frac{d}{dt}(\log P(t) - \log P(-t))dt\right| \leq C_\epsilon(1+|\lambda|^{d-\epsilon})$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. Thus, using Lemma 3.1, we see that g must have order less than d. Therefore, $s_V(\lambda)$ has order strictly less than d in $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} \lambda \geq 2\|V\|_\infty + 1\}$. □

Our main theorem allows a more general family of potentials than Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $d \geq 3$ be odd and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be open and connected. Suppose $V(z, x)$ satisfies assumptions (A1), and for some $z_0 \in \Omega$, $\mathcal{R}_{V(z_0)}$ has convergence exponent d. Then $\mathcal{R}_{V(z)}$ has convergence exponent d for all $z \in \Omega \backslash E$, where $E \subset \Omega$ is a pluripolar set.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, the order of $s_{V(z_0)}(\lambda)$ in the upper half-plane is d. Given an open, connected $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ such that $\overline{\Omega}' \subset \Omega$ is bounded, by Proposition 3.2 we may apply Proposition 2.3 to $s_{V(z)}(\lambda + iC_{\overline{\Omega'}} + i)$. From Proposition 2.3 we see that in the upper half-plane $s_{V(z)}(\lambda + iC_{\overline{\Omega'}} + i)$ has order d for $z \in \Omega' \setminus E'$, for some pluripolar set E'. Again by Lemma 4.2, this means that the convergence exponent of $\mathcal{R}_{V(z)}$ is d for $z \in \Omega' \setminus E'$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.3, we can cover Ω by such Ω', and the set E is the union of the corresponding sets E'. □

5. A CLASS OF POTENTIALS WITH FIXED SIGN

For $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$ let

$$B_V(\lambda) = \frac{V}{|V|^{1/2}} R_0(\lambda) |V|^{1/2}. \tag{6}$$

Then the poles of $R_V(\lambda)$ are the zeros of $I + B_V(\lambda)$. In this section we use this fact and a study of related holomorphic functions to prove Theorem 1.2. Throughout this section we assume that d is odd.

5.1. Lower bounds on a determinant.

In this subsection we obtain lower bounds on $\det(I + B_V^{2m}(\lambda))$ when $m > d/4$ and V can be bounded below by the characteristic function of the ball. In the next subsection we will use this lower bound and some results of several complex variables to prove Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ satisfy $V \geq \chi_{B(a,x_0)}$ where $\chi_{B(a,x_0)}$ is the characteristic function of the ball of radius $a > 0$ centered at x_0. Let $V_0 = \chi_{B(a,0)}$. Then, for $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $m > d/4$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\det(I + (B_V(-is))^{2m}) \geq \det(I + (B_{V_0}(-is))^{2m}).$$

Before proving the lemma, we remark that the sign in front of $(B_V(-is))^{2m}$ may appear puzzling, as the zeros of $\det(I + (B_V(\lambda))^{2m})$ do not, in general, include the poles of R_V, while those of $\det(I - (B_V(\lambda))^{2m})$ do (compare Lemma 5.4). The sign is positive so that we may work with the determinant of the identity plus a positive operator. In the proof of Proposition 5.6 we introduce a complex parameter, and this allows us to reconcile the apparent differences.

Proof. If A is a trace class operator,

$$\det(I+A)=\prod_j (I+\mu_j(A)), \tag{7}$$

where μ_j are the eigenvalues of A repeated according to their multiplicity, and $|\mu_1(A)| \geq |\mu_2(A)| \geq ....$

We note that for $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $B_V^2(-is)$ is a positive, self-adjoint operator, so that all of its eigenvalues are non-negative. Let $V_1 = \chi_{B(a,x_0)}$. Then, using the max-min principle,

$$\mu_j(B_V^2(-is)) \geq \mu_j(B_{V_1}^2(-is)) \geq 0$$

and thus

$$\mu_j(B_V^{2m}(-is)) \geq \mu_j(B_{V_1}^{2m}(-is)) \geq 0.$$

Since the eigenvalues of $B_{V_1}^{2m}$ are the same as those of $B_{V_0}^{2m}$, using (7) finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Next we will describe the resolvent $R_0(\lambda)$ in a way which will be useful for our purposes. Let $\sigma_1^2 < \sigma_2^2 \leq ...$ be the eigenvalues of the Laplacian on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, repeated according to their multiplicity, and let $\{\phi_j\}$ be a corresponding set of orthonormal eigenfunctions. We use the notation of [10] for the Bessel functions J_ν, the modified Bessel functions I_ν and K_ν, and the Hankel function $H_\nu^{(1)}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(r,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ be polar coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then

(8)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2i}{\pi}(R_0(\lambda)f)(r,y)\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\sigma_j^2=k(k+d-2)}\int_0^r\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\frac{H_{\nu_k}^{(1)}(\lambda r)J_{\nu_k}(\lambda r')}{(rr')^{(n-2)/2}}\phi_j(y)\overline{\phi}_j(y')f(r',y')(r')^{n-1}d\sigma_{y'}dr'\\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\sigma_j^2=k(k+d-2)}\int_r^\infty\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\frac{H_{\nu_k}^{(1)}(\lambda r')J_{\nu_k}(\lambda r)}{(rr')^{(n-2)/2}}\phi_j(y)\overline{\phi}_j(y')f(r',y')(r')^{n-1}d\sigma_{y'}dr'.
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\nu_k = k+\frac{d}{2}-1.$$

To obtain a lower bound on the eigenvalues of $B_{V_0}^2(-is)$ we shall need some lower bounds on Bessel functions.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $s,\ M \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\nu - 1/2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a constant $c>0$ such that*

$$|J_\nu(-i\nu s)| \geq c\frac{e^{c\nu}}{\sqrt{\nu}}$$

$$|H_\nu^{(1)}(-i\nu s)| \geq c\frac{e^{c\nu}}{\sqrt{\nu}}$$

when $3<s<M$ and ν is sufficiently large.

Proof. We use, from [11, 9.6.3 and 9.6.30]

$$|J_\nu(-is)| = |I_\nu(s)| \tag{9}$$

and, from [11, 9.1.40, 9.6.4, and 9.6.31]

$$H^{(1)}_\nu(-is) = \frac{-2i}{\pi} e^{-3\nu\pi i/2} K_\nu(s) - 2e^{-\nu\pi i/2} I_\nu(s). \tag{10}$$

For $3 \leq z \leq M < \infty$ there are constants $c,\ C > 0$ such that

$$I_\nu(\nu z) \geq c\frac{e^{\nu\xi}}{\sqrt{\nu}}$$
$$|K_\nu(\nu z)| \leq Ce^{-\nu\xi}$$

when ν is sufficiently large and $\xi = (1+z^2)^{1/2} + \ln \frac{z}{1+(1+z^2)^{1/2}}$ [10, 10.7.16]. Applying this and (9), for some $c > 0$

$$|J_\nu(-i\nu s)| \geq c\frac{e^{c\nu}}{\sqrt{\nu}}$$

when $3 \leq s \leq M$ and ν is sufficiently large. Similarly, using (10), the upper bound on $K_\nu(\nu z)$ and the lower bound on $I_\nu(\nu z)$, we obtain the second part of the lemma. □

The following lemma shows that the holomorphic function $\det(I+(B_{V_0}(-is))^{2m})$ introduced in Lemma 5.1 has order at least d.

Lemma 5.3. *For $a > 0$, let $V_0 = \chi_{B(a,0)} \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, for $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $m > d/4$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\det(I + (B_{V_0}(-is))^{2m}) \geq ce^{cs^d}$$

when s is sufficiently large.

Proof. We first obtain a lower bound on some of the eigenvalues of $B^2_{V_0}(-is) = (V_0^{1/2}R_0(-is)|V_0|^{1/2})^2$. Since V_0 is radial, we can write $B^2_{V_0}(-is) = \sum_k B^2_{k,V_0}(-is)$ where $B_{k,V_0}(-is)$ acts on the eigenspace of the Laplacian on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ with eigenvalue $k(k+d-2)$ and multiplicity $m(k) \geq ck^{d-2}$, for some $c > 0$. We will bound $\|B_{k,V_0}(-is)\|$ from below, giving us a lower bound on $m(k)$ of the eigenvalues of $B^2_{k,V_0}(-is)$.

Using (8),

$$\|B_{V_0}(-is)\| \geq \|\chi_{[0,a/2]} r^{-(n-2)/2} J_{\nu_k}(-isr)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\chi_{[a/2,a]} r^{-(n-2)/2} H^{(1)}_{\nu_k}(-isr)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

where $\chi_{[\alpha,\beta]}$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[\alpha,\beta]$. By Lemma 5.2, for $M \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\frac{sa}{2M} < \nu_k < \frac{sa}{12}$ there is some $c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{[0,a/2]} r^{-(n-2)/2} J_{\nu_k}(-isr)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\geq \int_{a/4}^{a/2} cr\frac{e^{c\nu_k}}{\nu_k} dr \\ &\geq \frac{c}{\nu_k} e^{c\nu_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Here and throughout c is a positive constant whose value may change from line to line. Using Lemma 5.2 in a similar way, for $M' \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\|\chi_{[a/2,a]} r^{-(n-2)/2} H^{(1)}_{\nu_k}(-isr)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \geq c\frac{e^{c\nu_k}}{\nu_k} \tag{12}$$

for some $c > 0$ when $\frac{sa}{M'} < \nu_k < \frac{sa}{6}$. Thus, with $\alpha = d/2 - 1$,

$$\|B_{V_0,k}(-is)\| \geq \frac{c}{k+\alpha} e^{ck}$$

when $\frac{sa}{M'} < k + \alpha < \frac{sa}{12}$. Thus $m_k \geq ck^{d-2}$ eigenvalues of $B^2_{V_0,k}$ are at least as large as $c(k+\alpha)^{-2}e^{ck}$ when $\frac{sa}{M'} < k + \alpha < \frac{sa}{12}$. Then, taking M' and s sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}\det(I + (B_{V_0}(-is))^{2m}) &\geq \prod_{\frac{sa}{M'} < k+\alpha < \frac{sa}{12}} \left(1 + c\frac{e^{ck}}{(k+\alpha)^{2m}}\right)^{ck^{d-2}} \\ &\geq \exp \sum_{\frac{sa}{M'} < k+\alpha < \frac{sa}{12}} (ck^{d-2} - ck^{d-2}\ln(k+\alpha) + ck^{d-1}) \\ &\geq c\exp(cs^d).\end{aligned}$$

□

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.**

In this subsection we use the results of Section 5.1, Theorem 1.1, and some results from [8] to prove Theorem 1.2. We also prove Corollary 1.3.

If $m > d/2$ is an integer, $\det(I - (-1)^m B^m_V(\lambda))$ is a holomorphic function of λ. Moreover, its zeros include the poles of $R_V(\lambda)$. In fact, we can say more, as the next lemma shows (compare [21, Proposition 1]).

Lemma 5.4. *Let $m > d/2$ be an integer and let $\omega = e^{2\pi i/m}$. Let $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$. Then the zeros of $\det(I - (-1)^m B^m_V(\lambda))$ correspond, with multiplicity, to $\cup_{k=1}^m \mathcal{R}_{\omega^k V}$.*

Proof. We note that

$$I - (-1)^m B^m_V(\lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^m (I + \omega^k B_V(\lambda)) = \prod_{k=1}^m (I + B_{\omega^k V}(\lambda)).$$

The lemma follows from using the fact that the zeros of $I + B_V$ correspond, with multiplicity, to $\mathcal{R}_V$. □

We shall need some knowledge of $\det(I - (-1)^m B^m_V(\lambda))$ in the upper half plane. This is analogous to [2, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 5.5. *Let $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$, $m > d/2$ be an integer and*

$$h_V(\lambda) = \det(I - (-1)^m B^m_V(\lambda)).$$

Then for $0 < \theta < \pi$, $\epsilon > 0$, there is a C (depending on V, θ, and ϵ) such that for $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$|h_V(re^{i\theta}) - 1| \leq Cr^{\epsilon - 1}.$$

Proof. We use the fact that $|\det(I+A)-1| \leq \|A\|_1 e^{\|A\|_1+1}$ [13, Theorem XIII.104]. For $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\|\chi R_0(re^{i\theta})\chi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)\to H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C$$

and

$$\|\chi R_0(re^{i\theta})\chi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)\to H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{C}{r^2}.$$

Here C denotes a positive constant whose value changes from line to line and may depend on parameters other than r. Therefore, for any $\epsilon' > 0$ and $p > d/2$,

$$\|\chi R_0(re^{i\theta})\chi\|_p \leq \frac{C}{r^{2-d/p-\epsilon'}}$$

and

$$\|B_V^m(re^{i\theta})\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{r^{1-\epsilon}}.$$

□

Proposition 5.6. *Let $V \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R})$ be bounded below by the characteristic function of a ball. Let $m > d/4$ be an integer and let $\omega = e^{\frac{\pi i}{m}}$. Then*

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log(\sum_{j=1}^{2m} N_{\omega^j zV}(r))}{\log r} = d$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus E$, where E is a pluripolar set.

Proof. Consider the function $\det(I - B_{zV}^{2m}(\lambda))$. This is a holomorphic function of $(\lambda, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Moreover, as in [21, Proposition 3], it is (for fixed z) of order at most d in λ. On the other hand, if $z^{2m} = -1$, by Lemma 5.3 it is of order at least d in λ. Thus, applying [8, Propositions 1.39 and 1.40] as in the proof of Proposition 2.3, $\det(I - B_{zV}^{2m}(\lambda))$ is of order d for $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus E$ for a pluripolar set E.

Now fix $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus E$. Suppose

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log(\sum_{j=1}^{2m} N_{\omega^j zV}(r))}{\log r} = d' < d.$$

Then we may write

$$\det(I - B_{zV}^{2m}(\lambda)) = \alpha_z e^{ig_z(\lambda)} \prod_{\substack{\lambda_j \in \cup_k \mathcal{R}_{\omega^k zV},\\ \lambda_j \neq 0}} G(\lambda/\lambda_j; d-1) \tag{13}$$

where α_z is a constant, g_z is a polynomial of order at most d, and $G(\zeta; d-1)$ is the canonical factor of order $d-1$. There are at most finitely many elements of $\cup_k \mathcal{R}_{\omega^k zV}$ in the upper half plane. Thus standard estimates on canonical products and the minimum modulus theorem show that for $\operatorname{Im}\lambda$ sufficiently large and $0 <$

$\theta_1 < \arg\lambda < \theta_2 < \pi$, the canonical product in (13) must satisfy, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and some C_ϵ,

$$\frac{1}{C_\epsilon} e^{-C_\epsilon |\lambda|^{d'+\epsilon}} \leq \left| \prod_{\substack{\lambda_j \in \cup_k \mathcal{R}_{\omega^k zV} \\ \lambda_j \neq 0}} G(\lambda/\lambda_j; d-1) \right| \leq C_\epsilon e^{C_\epsilon |\lambda|^{d'+\epsilon}}.$$

Thus, using Lemma 5.5, $g_z(\lambda)$ must be of order strictly less than d, and so $\det(I - B_{zV}^{2m}(\lambda))$ is of order strictly less than d in λ, a contradiction. $\square$

Now we can give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix an integer m with $m > d/4$. By Proposition 5.6 and using the notation of that proposition,

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log(\sum_{j=1}^{2m} N_{\omega^j zV}(r))}{\log r} = d$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E'$, some pluripolar set E'. If $z_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E'$, then, there is some j_1 such that

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log N_{\omega^{j_1} z_1 V}(r)}{\log r} = d.$$

Thus by Lemma 4.2 the potential $V_1(z,x) = zV(x)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 with $z_0 = \omega^{j_1} z_1$. Applying Theorem 1.1 finishes the proof. $\square$

We may now give the proof of Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let $V_0 \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{C})$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Let $V_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R})$ be bounded below by the characteristic function of a ball. Then by Theorem 1.2 $\mathcal{R}_{zV_1}$ has convergence exponent d for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E_1$ for some pluripolar set E_1. The set $E_1 \subset \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ not only has Lebesgue measure zero, but its restriction to $\mathbb{R}$ is of Lebesgue measure zero in $\mathbb{R}$ (e.g. [12, Section 3.2]). Thus we may choose $z_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (E_1) \restriction_{\mathbb{R}}$ so that $\|z_1 V_1\|_{L^\infty} < \epsilon/2$ and $\mathcal{R}_{z_1 V_1}$ has convergence exponent d.

Now consider $V(z) = z z_1 V_1 + (1-z) V_0$. Then $V(z,x)$ is in the framework of Theorem 1.1, $V(1) = z_1 V_1$, and $V(0) = V_0$. Using Theorem 1.1, $\mathcal{R}_{V(z)}$ has convergence exponent d for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E$, where E is a pluripolar set. Thus we may find a $z_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (E \restriction_{\mathbb{R}})$ with $|z_2| < \epsilon(2(\|V_0\|_{L^\infty} + 1))^{-1}$. The potential $V_2(x) = V(z_2, x)$ thus has $\mathcal{R}_{V_2}$ with convergence exponent d and $\|V_0 - V_2\|_{L^\infty} < \epsilon$. We remark that if V_0 is real-valued, then so is V_2.

With fairly straightforward modifications, the same proof gives the result for smooth potentials in the C^∞ topology. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] T. Christiansen, *Schrödinger operators with complex-valued potentials and no resonances*, preprint.

[2] R. Froese, *Asymptotic distribution of resonances in one dimension*, J. Differential Equations **137** (1997), no. 2, 251–272.

[3] R. Froese, *Upper bounds for the resonance counting function of Schrödinger operators in odd dimensions*, Canad. J. Math. **50** (1998), no. 3, 538–546.

[4] L. Guillopé and M. Zworski, *Scattering asymptotics for Riemann surfaces*, Ann. of Math. **145** (1997), 597-660.

[5] C. Kiselman, *The use of conjugate convex functions in complex analysis*, Complex analysis (Warsaw, 1979), 131–142, Banach Center Publ., 11, PWN, Warsaw, 1983.

[6] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.

[7] P. D. Lax and R. S. Phillips, *Decaying modes for the wave equation in the exterior of an obstacle*, Comm. Pure and Applied Math., **22** (1969), 737-787.

[8] P. Lelong and L. Gruman, *Entire Functions of Several Complex Variables*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[9] B. Ja. Levin, *Distribution of zeros of entire functions*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1964 viii+493 pp.

[10] F.W.J. Olver, *Asymptotics and special functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.

[11] F.W.J. Olver, *Bessel functions of integer order*, in Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables, M. Abromowitz and I. Stegun (editors). National Bureau of Standards Applied Math Series **55**, 1968.

[12] T. Ransford, *Potential theory in the complex plane*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[13] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics IV: Analysis of operators.* Academic Press, Boston, 1978.

[14] A. Sá Barreto, *Remarks on the distribution of resonances in odd dimensional Euclidean scattering*, Asymptot. Anal. **27** (2001), no. 2, 161–170.

[15] B. Simon, *Resonances in one dimension and Fredholm determinants*, J. Funct. Anal. **178** (2000), no. 2, 396–420.

[16] A. Vasy, *Scattering poles for negative potentials*, Comm. PDE **21** (1&2) (1997), 185-194.

[17] G. Vodev, *Resonances in the Euclidean scattering*, Cubo Matemática Educacional **3** no. 1 (2001), 317-360.

[18] D. Yafaev, *Scattering theory: some old and new problems.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1735. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. xvi+169 pp.

[19] M. Zworski, *Distribution of poles for scattering on the real line*, J. Funct. Anal. **73** (2) (1987), 277-296.

[20] M. Zworski, *Sharp polynomial bounds on the number of scattering poles of radial potentials*, J. Funct. Anal. **82** (1989), 370-403.

[21] M. Zworski, *Sharp polynomial bounds on the number of scattering poles*, Duke Math. J. **59** (1989), no. 2, 311–323.

[22] M. Zworski, *Counting scattering poles.* In: Spectral and scattering theory (Sanda, 1992), 301–331, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 161, Dekker, New York, 1994.

[23] M. Zworski, *Poisson formulae for resonances*, Séminaire sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles, 1996-1997, Exp. No. XIII, 14pp., École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1997.

[24] M. Zworski, *Resonances in physics and geometry*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1999), no. 3, 319–328.

[25] M. Zworski, *Quantum resonances and partial differential equations*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. III (Beijing, 2002), 243–252, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI
COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65211